RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER NO. 7052 OCT 0 8 2004

Attorney Docket No. 401574/YPLEE

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

LEE ct al.

Application No. 10/073,045

Art Unit: 1751

Filed: February 12, 2002

Examiner: Vijayakumar, K. M.

For:

ľ

TRANSPARENT CONDUCTIVE LAYER AND IMAGE DISPLAY DEVICE

EMPLOYING THE SAME

## RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

. Mail Stop Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated July 9, 2004, please enter the following amendments and consider the following remarks.

I hereby certify the being facsimile to Facsimile Number	CERTIFICATE OF MAIL that this Response to Office Action ansmitted to the U.S. Patent and 7 703-872-9306.	LING OR TRANSMI and all accompanying Trademark Office, Au	SSION UNDER 37 CFR 1.8  Ig documents are, on the date indicated below, Security Entloor. Examiner K.M. Vijayakumar, Art Unit 1751.
Nume (Print/Type)	XAVIER PILLAI		
Signature	Xai Villai	Date	October 8, 2004

10/21/2004 RWINBUSH 00000003 121216 10073045

01 FC:1201

616.00 DA

In re Appln. of LEE et al. Application No. 10/073,045

## REMARKS

The specification has been amended to correct obvious typographical errors. Claims 9, 10, 17, 22, and 25-27 have been rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 9 and 26 also have been amended to correct obvious typographical errors. New claims 35-38 have been added and are directed to embodiments of the present invention. The amended and new claims are supported by the original claims and specification. No new matter has been added.

The Office Action indicates that claims 9-10, 17, 22, and 25-27 would be allowable if rewritten independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. As indicated, Applicants have rewritten the above claims. In view of the foregoing, claims 9-10, 17, 22, and 25-27 are allowable.

Claims rejected for obviousness have been cancelled without prejudice or estoppel. Claims 35-38 should not be rejected over the cited references.

## Conclusion

The application is considered in good and proper form for allowance, and the Examiner is respectfully requested to pass this application to issue. If, in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephone conference would expedite the prosecution of the subject application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted.

Xavier Pillai, Reg. No. 39,799 LEYDIG, VOIT & MAYER, LTD. Two Prudential Plaza, Suite 4900 180 North Stetson Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60601-6780

(312) 616-5600 (telephone) (312) 616-5700 (facsimile)

Date: October 8, 2004